# Error estimates with explicit constants for the Sinc approximation over infinite intervals[☆]

Tomoaki Okayama

*Graduate School of Information Sciences, Hiroshima City University*
*3-4-1, Ozuka-higashi, Asaminami-ku, Hiroshima 731-3194, Japan*

arXiv:1610.06685v1 [cs.NA] 21 Oct 2016

**Abstract**

The Sinc approximation is a function approximation formula that attains exponential convergence for rapidly decaying functions defined on the whole real axis. Even for other functions, the Sinc approximation still works accurately when combined with a proper variable transformation. The convergence rate has been analyzed for typical cases including finite, semi-infinite, and infinite intervals. Recently, for verified numerical computation, more explicit, "computable" error bound has been given in the case of a finite interval. In this paper, such explicit error bounds are given in the remaining cases.

*Keywords:* Sinc approximation, single-exponential transformation, double-exponential transformation, infinite interval, error bound
*2010 MSC:* 65D05, 65D15, 65G99

## 1. Introduction

The "Sinc approximation" is a function approximation formula expressed as

$$F(x) \approx \sum_{k=-M}^{N} F(kh)S(k,h)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $S(k,h)(x)$ is the so-called Sinc function, defined by

$$S(k,h)(x) = \frac{\sin[\pi(x/h-k)]}{\pi(x/h-k)},$$

and $M$, $N$, $h$ are selected appropriately depending on $n$. It is well known that the approximation formula (1.1) can converge *exponentially*, when combined with a proper variable transformation. Furthermore, it has been shown that the approximation formula (1.1) is nearly optimal for functions in some Hardy spaces [1, 2]. Motivated by the fact, many researchers have studied applications of the Sinc approximation (see Stenger [3, 4], Lund–Bowers [5], Sugihara–Matsuo [6], and references therein).

[☆]This work was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) Number JP24760060.
*Email address:* okayama@hiroshima-cu.ac.jp (Tomoaki Okayama)

As stated above, employment of a proper variable transformation $t = \psi(x)$ is important to enjoy exponential convergence. In this regard, depending on the target interval $(a,\ b)$ and the function $f$, Stenger [3] considered the following four typical cases:

1. $(a,\ b) = (-\infty,\ \infty)$, and $|f(t)|$ decays algebraically as $t \to \pm\infty$,
2. $(a,\ b) = (0,\ \infty)$, and $|f(t)|$ decays algebraically as $t \to \infty$,
3. $(a,\ b) = (0,\ \infty)$, and $|f(t)|$ decays (already) exponentially as $t \to \infty$,
4. The interval $(a,\ b)$ is finite.

In all the four cases, he gave the concrete transformations to be employed as

$$\psi_{\mathrm{SE}1}(x) = \sinh x, \quad \psi_{\mathrm{SE}2}(x) = \mathrm{e}^{x}, \quad \psi_{\mathrm{SE}3}(x) = \operatorname{arcsinh}(\mathrm{e}^{x}), \quad \psi_{\mathrm{SE}4}(x) = \frac{b-a}{2}\tanh\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \frac{b+a}{2},$$

which are called the "Single-Exponential (SE) transformations." After applying the variable transformation $t = \psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}(x)$, we may put $F(x) = f(\psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}(x))$ and use the Sinc approximation (1.1). As a result, we have the following approximation for $f(t)$ as

$$f(t) \approx \sum_{k=-M}^{N} f(\psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}(kh))S(k,h)(\psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}^{-1}(t)), \tag{1.2}$$

which is called the "SE-Sinc approximation." Stenger [3] showed exponential convergence of the approximation (1.2) by giving error analyses in the following form:

$$\sup_{t\in(a,\,b)}\left|f(t) - \sum_{k=-M}^{N} f(\psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}(kh))S(k,h)(\psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}^{-1}(t))\right| \le C\sqrt{n}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\sqrt{\pi d\mu n}}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mu$ indicates the decay rate of the function, $d$ denotes the width of the domain in which the transformed function $f(\psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}(x))$ is analytic, and $C$ is a constant independent of $n$.

In recent decades, several authors [6, 7, 8] pointed out that the performance of the Sinc approximation can be improved by changing those variable transformations to the following ones:

$$\psi_{\mathrm{DE}1}(t) = \psi_{\mathrm{SE}1}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\sinh t\right), \quad \psi_{\mathrm{DE}2}(t) = \psi_{\mathrm{SE}2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\sinh t\right), \quad \psi_{\mathrm{DE}3\dagger}(t) = \mathrm{e}^{t-\exp(-t)}, \quad \psi_{\mathrm{DE}4}(t) = \psi_{\mathrm{SE}4}\left(\pi\sinh t\right),$$

which are called the "Double-Exponential (DE) transformations," originally introduced by Takahasi–Mori [9] for numerical integration. In addition, in case 3, another DE transformation

$$\psi_{\mathrm{DE}3}(t) = \log(1 + \mathrm{e}^{(\pi/2)\sinh t})$$

was proposed [10] so that its inverse function can be explicitly written with elementary functions (whereas $\psi_{\mathrm{DE}3\dagger}(t)$ cannot). The combination of the DE transformation and the formula (1.1) is called the "DE-Sinc approximation," and the error analyses have been given [8] for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ as

$$\sup_{t\in(a,\,b)}\left|f(t) - \sum_{k=-n}^{n} f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE}i}(kh))S(k,h)(\psi_{\mathrm{DE}i}^{-1}(t))\right| \le C\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi dn/\log(4dn/\mu)}, \tag{1.4}$$

and for $\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\dagger}(t)$, also given [8] as

$$\sup_{t\in(0,\,\infty)}\left|f(t)-\sum_{k=-n}^{n} f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\dagger}(kh))S(k,h)(\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\dagger}^{-1}(t))\right| \le C\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi dn/\log(\pi dn/\mu)}. \tag{1.5}$$

The main objective of this study is to refine those error analyses into more useful forms. It should be emphasized that the error analyses (1.3), (1.4), and (1.5) are given not in just an asymptotic form ($\simeq$), but in a strict inequality form ($\le$). This means that if the constant $C$'s are given in a more explicit, *computable* form, we can use the term in right hand side as rigorous error bound, which is quite useful for verified numerical computation. In fact, the explicit form of $C$ was revealed in case 4 (the interval is finite) [11]. This study reveals the explicit form of $C$'s in the remaining cases: 1–3 (the interval is not finite).

As a second objective, this paper improves the DE transformation in case 3. Instead of $\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}}(t)$ or $\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\dagger}(t)$,

$$\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}(t) = \log(1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh t})$$

is employed in this paper, which was originally proposed for numerical integration [12]. The error is also given by this study as

$$\sup_{t\in(0,\,\infty)}\left|f(t)-\sum_{k=-n}^{n} f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}(kh))S(k,h)(\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}^{-1}(t))\right| \le C\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi dn/\log(2dn/\mu)}, \tag{1.6}$$

with the explicit constant $C$. The convergence rate of (1.6) is better than that of (1.4) or (1.5). Furthermore, in the same manner as in numerical integration [12], it can be shown that $\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}$ is the best among the possible variable transformations in case 3 (although not discussed in this paper).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, existing error analyses and new explicit error bounds (main result) are stated. Numerical examples are shown in Section 3. All proofs of the presented theorems are given in Section 4.

## 2. Existing error analyses and new error estimates with explicit constants

In this section, after reviewing existing results, new error bounds for the SE- and DE-Sinc approximations are stated. Let us introduce some notation here. Let $\mathscr{D}_d$ be a strip domain defined by $\mathscr{D}_d = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im}\zeta| < d\}$ for $d > 0$. Furthermore, let $\mathscr{D}_d^- = \{\zeta \in \mathscr{D}_d : \operatorname{Re}\zeta < 0\}$ and $\mathscr{D}_d^+ = \{\zeta \in \mathscr{D}_d : \operatorname{Re}\zeta \ge 0\}$. In this section, $d$ is assumed to be a positive constant with $d < \pi/2$. Let $\psi(\mathscr{D}_d)$ denote the image of $\mathscr{D}_d$ by the map $\psi$, i.e., $\psi(\mathscr{D}_d) = \{z = \psi(\zeta) : \zeta \in \mathscr{D}_d\}$. Let $I_1 = (-\infty, \infty)$, $I_2 = I_3 = (0, \infty)$, and let us define the following three functions:

$$E_1(z;\gamma) = \frac{1}{(1+z^2)^{\gamma/2}},$$
$$E_2(z;\alpha,\beta) = \frac{z^\alpha}{(1+z^2)^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}},$$
$$E_3(z;\alpha,\beta) = \left(\frac{z}{1+z}\right)^\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\beta z}.$$

We write $E_i(z;\gamma,\gamma)$ as $E_i(z;\gamma)$ for short.

*2.1. Existing error analyses and new error estimates for the SE-Sinc approximation*

Existing error analyses for the Sinc approximation combined with $\psi_{\mathrm{SE1}}$, $\psi_{\mathrm{SE2}}$, and $\psi_{\mathrm{SE3}}$ are written in the following form (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2).

**Theorem 2.1 (Stenger [3, Theorem 4.2.5]).** *Assume that $f$ is analytic in $\psi_{\mathrm{SE1}}(\mathscr{D}_d)$, and there exist positive constants $K$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$ such that*

$$|f(z)| \leq K|E_1(z;\alpha)| \tag{2.1}$$

*for all $z \in \psi_{\mathrm{SE1}}(\mathscr{D}_d^-)$, and*

$$|f(z)| \leq K|E_1(z;\beta)| \tag{2.2}$$

*for all $z \in \psi_{\mathrm{SE1}}(\mathscr{D}_d^+)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let $h$ be defined as*

$$h = \sqrt{\frac{\pi d}{\mu n}}, \tag{2.3}$$

*and let $M$ and $N$ be defined as*

$$\begin{cases} M = n, \quad N = \lceil \alpha n/\beta \rceil & (\text{if } \mu = \alpha), \\ N = n, \quad M = \lceil \beta n/\alpha \rceil & (\text{if } \mu = \beta). \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

*Then there exists a constant $C_1$, independent of $n$, such that*

$$\sup_{t\in I_1} \left| f(t) - \sum_{k=-M}^{N} f(\psi_{\mathrm{SE1}}(kh)) S(k,h)(\psi_{\mathrm{SE1}}^{-1}(t)) \right| \leq C_1 \sqrt{n}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}}. \tag{2.5}$$

**Theorem 2.2 (Stenger [3, Theorem 4.2.5]).** *The following is true for $i = 2, 3$. Assume that $f$ is analytic in $\psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}(\mathscr{D}_d)$, and there exist positive constants $K$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$ such that*

$$|f(z)| \leq K|E_i(z;\alpha,\beta)| \tag{2.6}$$

*for all $z \in \psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}(\mathscr{D}_d)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let $h$ be defined as* (2.3)*, and let $M$ and $N$ be defined as* (2.4)*. Then there exists a constant $C_i$, independent of $n$, such that*

$$\sup_{t\in I_i} \left| f(t) - \sum_{k=-M}^{N} f(\psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}(kh)) S(k,h)(\psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}^{-1}(t)) \right| \leq C_i \sqrt{n}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\sqrt{\pi d \mu n}}. \tag{2.7}$$

This paper explicitly estimates the constant $C_i$'s in (2.5) and (2.7) as follows.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let the assumptions in Theorem 2.1 be fulfilled. Furthermore, let $\nu = \max\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then the inequality* (2.5) *holds with*

$$C_1 = \frac{2^{\nu+1} K}{\sqrt{\pi d \mu}} \left\{ \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi d \mu}(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2\sqrt{\pi d \mu}})\{\cos d\}^{\nu}} + 1 \right\}.$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let the assumptions in Theorem 2.2 be fulfilled. Then the inequality* (2.7) *holds with*

$$C_2 = \frac{2K}{\sqrt{\pi d\mu}}\left\{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi d\mu}(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\sqrt{\pi d\mu}})\{\cos d\}^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}}+1\right\},$$
$$C_3 = \frac{2K}{\sqrt{\pi d\mu}}\left\{\frac{2^{1+(\alpha+\beta)/2}}{\sqrt{\pi d\mu}(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\sqrt{\pi d\mu}})\{\cos(d/2)\}^{\alpha+\beta}}+1\right\}.$$

*2.2. Existing error analyses and new error estimates for the DE-Sinc approximation*

Existing error analyses for the Sinc approximation with $\psi_{\mathrm{DE}1}$, $\psi_{\mathrm{DE}2}$, $\psi_{\mathrm{DE}3}$, and $\psi_{\mathrm{DE}3\dagger}$ are written in the following form (Theorems 2.5 and 2.6).

**Theorem 2.5 (Tanaka et al. [8, Theorems 3.2, 3.3, 3.5]).** *The following is true for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Assume that $f$ is analytic in $\psi_{\mathrm{DE}i}(\mathscr{D}_d)$, and there exist positive constants $K$ and $\mu$ such that $|f(z)| \le K|E_i(z;\mu)|$ for all $z \in \psi_{\mathrm{DE}i}(\mathscr{D}_d)$. Then there exists a constant $C_i$, independent of $n$, such that*

$$\sup_{t\in I_i}\left|f(t)-\sum_{k=-n}^{n} f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE}i}(kh))S(k,h)(\psi_{\mathrm{DE}i}^{-1}(t))\right| \le C_i\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi dn/\log(4dn/\mu)},$$

*where*

$$h = \frac{\log(4dn/\mu)}{n}. \tag{2.8}$$

**Remark 1.** Strictly speaking, in case 3, the range of $d$ is not $0 < d < \pi/2$ (assumed at the beginning of this section), but $0 < d < \operatorname{Im}\zeta_0 \simeq 1.4045$, where $\zeta_0 = \operatorname{arcsinh}(2\,\mathrm{i} + \frac{2}{\pi}\log(1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}}))$. This is because the denominator of $E_3(z;\alpha,\beta)$ is zero at $z = \psi_{\mathrm{DE}3}(\zeta_0)$. In order to address this issue, this paper employs the function $z^{\mu}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mu z}$ in Theorem 2.9 instead of $E_3(z;\mu)$.

**Theorem 2.6 (Tanaka et al. [8, Theorem 3.4]).** *Assume that $f$ is analytic in $\psi_{\mathrm{DE}3\dagger}(\mathscr{D}_d)$, and there exist positive constants $K$ and $\mu$ such that $|f(z)| \le K|E_3(z;\mu)|$ for all $z \in \psi_{\mathrm{DE}3\dagger}(\mathscr{D}_d)$. Let $h$ be defined as $h = \log(\pi dn/\mu)/n$. Then there exists a constant $C$, independent of $n$, such that* (1.5) *holds.*

As for case 1 (Theorem 2.5 with $i = 1$) and case 2 (Theorem 2.5 with $i = 2$), this paper not only explicitly estimates the constant $C_i$'s, but also generalizes the approximation formula from $\sum_{k=-n}^{n}$ to $\sum_{k=-M}^{N}$ as stated below.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Assume that $f$ is analytic in $\psi_{\mathrm{DE}1}(\mathscr{D}_d)$, and there exist positive constants $K$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$ such that* (2.1) *holds for all $z \in \psi_{\mathrm{DE}1}(\mathscr{D}_d^-)$, and* (2.2) *holds for all $z \in \psi_{\mathrm{DE}1}(\mathscr{D}_d^+)$. Let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let $\nu = \max\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let $h$ be defined as* (2.8)*, and let $M$ and $N$ be defined as*

$$\begin{cases} M = n, \quad N = n - \lfloor \log(\beta/\alpha)/h \rfloor & (\textit{if } \mu = \alpha), \\ N = n, \quad M = n - \lfloor \log(\alpha/\beta)/h \rfloor & (\textit{if } \mu = \beta). \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

*Furthermore, let $n$ be taken sufficiently large so that $n \geq (\nu\,\mathrm{e})/(4d)$ holds. Then it holds that*

$$\sup_{t\in I_1}\left|f(t)-\sum_{k=-M}^{N} f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE1}}(kh))S(k,h)(\psi_{\mathrm{DE1}}^{-1}(t))\right| \leq C_1\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi dn/\log(4dn/\mu)},$$

*where $C_1$ is a constant independent of $n$, expressed as*

$$C_1 = \frac{2^{\nu+1}K}{\pi d\mu}\left\{\frac{4}{\pi(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\mu\,\mathrm{e}/2})\{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin d)\}^{\nu}\cos d}+\mu\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi\nu/4}\right\}.$$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Assume that $f$ is analytic in $\psi_{\mathrm{DE2}}(\mathscr{D}_d)$, and there exist positive constants $K$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$ such that* (2.6) *holds with $i=2$ for all $z\in\psi_{\mathrm{DE2}}(\mathscr{D}_d)$. Let $\mu=\min\{\alpha,\beta\}$, let $\nu=\max\{\alpha,\beta\}$, let $h$ be defined as* (2.8)*, and let $M$ and $N$ be defined as* (2.9)*. Furthermore, let $n$ be taken sufficiently large so that $n\geq(\nu\,\mathrm{e})/(4d)$ holds. Then it holds that*

$$\sup_{t\in I_2}\left|f(t)-\sum_{k=-M}^{N} f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE2}}(kh))S(k,h)(\psi_{\mathrm{DE2}}^{-1}(t))\right| \leq C_2\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi dn/\log(4dn/\mu)},$$

*where $C_2$ is a constant independent of $n$, expressed as*

$$C_2 = \frac{2K}{\pi d\mu}\left\{\frac{4}{\pi(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\mu\,\mathrm{e}/2})\{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin d)\}^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}\cos d}+\mu\,\mathrm{e}^{\pi\nu/4}\right\}.$$

As for case 3 (Theorem 2.5 with $i=3$ and Theorem 2.6), this paper employs the improved variable transformation $\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}$ as described in the introduction, and gives the error estimates in a similar form to Theorems 2.7 and 2.8.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Assume that $f$ is analytic in $\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}(\mathscr{D}_d)$, and there exist positive constants $K$ and $\mu$ with $\mu\leq 1$ such that $|f(z)|\leq K|z^{\mu}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mu z}|$ holds for all $z\in\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}(\mathscr{D}_d)$. Let $h$ be defined as*

$$h=\frac{\log(2dn/\mu)}{n}. \tag{2.10}$$

*Furthermore, let $n$ be taken sufficiently large so that $n\geq(\mu\,\mathrm{e})/(2d)$ holds. Then it holds that*

$$\sup_{t\in I_3}\left|f(t)-\sum_{k=-n}^{n} f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}(kh))S(k,h)(\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}^{-1}(t))\right| \leq C_{3\ddagger}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi dn/\log(2dn/\mu)},$$

*where $C_{3\ddagger}$ is a constant independent of $n$, expressed as*

$$C_{3\ddagger} = \frac{K}{\pi^{1-\mu}d\mu}\left\{\frac{4}{\pi(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\mu\,\mathrm{e}})\{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin d)\}^{2\mu}\{\cos d\}^{\mu+1}}+\mu 2^{1-\mu}\,\mathrm{e}^{\mu(\pi+2)/2}\right\}.$$

**Remark 2.** In Theorem 2.9, the sum of the approximation formula is not $\sum_{k=-M}^{N}$, but $\sum_{k=-n}^{n}$. This is because the inequality bounding the function $f$ is not $|f(z)|\leq\tilde{K}|z^{\alpha}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\beta z}|$ but $|f(z)|\leq K|z^{\mu}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mu z}|$, which assumes $\alpha=\beta$. This is, however, an assumption without loss of generality, because from the former inequality, by putting $z=(\alpha/\beta)w$ and $g(w)=f((\alpha/\beta)w)$, we obtain $|g(w)|\leq\tilde{K}(\alpha/\beta)^{\alpha}|w^{\alpha}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha w}|$.

## 3. Numerical Examples

In this section, numerical results are shown. All computation programs were written in C with quadruple-precision floating-point arithmetic by using "long double" type on a PowerPC CPU. The following three examples are conducted.

**Example 1 (Case 1).** Consider the function

$$f_1(t) = \frac{1}{1+t^2}\sqrt{1+\tanh^2(\operatorname{arcsinh} t)},$$

which satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 2.3 with $\alpha = \beta = 2$, $d = \pi/4$ and $K = 3/2$, and also in Theorem 2.7 with $\alpha = \beta = 2$, $d = \pi/6$ and $K = 3/2$.

**Example 2 (Case 2).** Consider the function

$$f_2(t) = \frac{\sqrt{t}}{1+t^2}\sqrt{1+\tanh^2(\log t)},$$

which satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 2.4 ($i = 2$) with $\alpha = 1/2$, $\beta = 3/2$, $d = \pi/4$ and $K = 3/2$, and also in Theorem 2.8 with $\alpha = 1/2$, $\beta = 3/2$, $d = \pi/6$ and $K = 3/2$.

**Example 3 (Case 3).** Consider the function

$$f_3(t) = t^{\pi/4}\,\mathrm{e}^{-t},$$

which satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 2.4 ($i = 3$) with $\alpha = \pi/4$, $\beta = 3/4$, $d = 3.14/2$ and $K = (1 + (\pi/2)^2)^{\pi/8}$, and also in Theorem 2.5 ($i = 3$) with $\mu = \pi/4$, $d = 1.40$ and some constant $K > 0$ (note that no explicit error bound is given). In addition, if we put $g(u) = f_3((\pi/4)u)$, $g$ satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 2.9 with $\mu = \pi/4$, $d = 3/2$ and $K = (\pi/4)^{\pi/4}$.

Numerical results are shown in Figures 1–3. In Figure 1, "Maximum Error" denotes the maximum value of absolute errors investigated on the following 403 points: $t = 0$, $\pm 2^{-100}$, $\pm 2^{-99}$, …, $\pm 2^{-1}$, $\pm 2^{0}$, $\pm 2^{1}$, …, $\pm 2^{100}$. Similarly, in Figures 2 and 3, errors were investigated on 201 points (just the positive points of above), and their maximum is plotted in those figures. In each graph, we can see that the error estimate by the presented theorem (dotted line) surely bounds the actual error (solid line). Furthermore, in Figure 3, we can confirm that the proposed DE-Sinc approximation using $\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}$ converges faster than the old DE-Sinc approximation using $\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}}$ ("old DE" in the graph). Another DE transformation $\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\dagger}$ is not employed, because $\psi^{-1}_{\mathrm{DE3}\dagger}$ is not easily computed.

## 4. Proofs

### *4.1. In the case of the SE-Sinc approximation*

First, the error of the SE-Sinc approximation (1.2) is estimated. Let us have a look at the sketch of the proof here. Let $F(x) = f(\psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}(x))$ (recall that we employ the SE transformation $t = \psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}(x)$), and we have to evaluate the following term:

$$\left| f(t) - \sum_{k=-M}^{N} f(\psi_{\mathrm{SE}i}(kh))S(k,h)(\psi^{-1}_{\mathrm{SE}i}(t)) \right| = \left| F(x) - \sum_{k=-M}^{N} F(kh)S(k,h)(x) \right|,$$




Figure 1: Approximation errors of $f_1$ and their estimates.




Figure 2: Approximation errors of $f_2$ and their estimates.




Figure 3: Approximation errors of $f_3$ and their estimates.

which is the error of the Sinc approximation (1.1). For the estimation, the following function space plays an important role.

**Definition 4.1.** Let $L$, $R$, $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ be positive constants, and $d$ be a constant with $0 < \gamma d < \pi$. Then, $\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{SE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathscr{D}_d)$ denotes a family of functions $F$ that are analytic in $\mathscr{D}_d$, and for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{D}_d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfy

$$|F(\zeta)| \leq \frac{L}{|1 + \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma\zeta}\,|^{\alpha/\gamma}|1 + \mathrm{e}^{\gamma\zeta}\,|^{\beta/\gamma}}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$|F(x)| \leq \frac{R}{(1 + \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma x})^{\alpha/\gamma}(1 + \mathrm{e}^{\gamma x})^{\beta/\gamma}}. \tag{4.2}$$

If $F \in \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{SE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathscr{D}_d)$, the error of the Sinc approximation is estimated as below. The proof is omitted here because it is quite similar to the existing theorem for case 4 [11, Theorem 2.4].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let $F \in \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{SE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathscr{D}_d)$, let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let $h$ be defined as* (2.3)*, and let $M$ and $N$ be defined as* (2.4)*. Then, setting $\epsilon^{\mathrm{SE}}_n = \sqrt{n}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\sqrt{\pi d\mu n}}$, we have*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \left| F(x) - \sum_{k=-M}^{N} F(kh) S\,(k,h)(x) \right| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi d\mu}} \left[ \frac{2L}{\sqrt{\pi d\mu}(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2\sqrt{\pi d\mu}})\{\cos(\gamma d/2)\}^{(\alpha+\beta)/\gamma}} + R \right] \epsilon^{\mathrm{SE}}_n.$$

In view of Theorem 4.1, our project is completed by checking $F \in \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{SE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathscr{D}_d)$ in each case: 1, 2, and 3. Let us check each case one by one.

*4.1.1. Proof in case 1 (Theorem 2.3)*

The claim of Theorem 2.3 follows from the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let the assumptions in Theorem 2.3 be fulfilled. Then, the function $F(\zeta) = f(\psi_{\mathrm{SE1}}(\zeta))$ belongs to $\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{SE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathscr{D}_d)$ with $L = 2^\nu K/\{\cos d\}^{(\nu-\mu)/2}$, $R = 2^\nu K$, and $\gamma = 2$.*

The proof is straightforward by the next result, because $|F(\zeta)| \leq K|E_1(\psi_{\mathrm{SE1}}(\zeta); \alpha)|$ and $|F(\zeta)| \leq K|E_2(\psi_{\mathrm{SE1}}(\zeta); \beta)|$ coincide with the inequalities in the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3 (Okayama [12, in the proof of Lemma 5.1]).** *Assume that $F$ is analytic in $\mathscr{D}_d$ with $0 < d < \pi/2$, and there exist positive constants $K$, $\alpha$, $\beta$ such that*

$$|F(\zeta)| \leq \frac{K}{|1 + \mathrm{e}^{-2\zeta}\,|^{\alpha/2}|1 + \mathrm{e}^{2\zeta}\,|^{\alpha/2}}$$

*holds for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{D}_d^-$, and*

$$|F(\zeta)| \leq \frac{K}{|1 + \mathrm{e}^{-2\zeta}\,|^{\beta/2}|1 + \mathrm{e}^{2\zeta}\,|^{\beta/2}}$$

*holds for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{D}_d^+$. Then $F \in \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{SE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathscr{D}_d)$ with $L = 2^\nu K/\{\cos d\}^{(\nu-\mu)/2}$, $R = 2^\nu K$, and $\gamma = 2$, where $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $\nu = \max\{\alpha, \beta\}$.*

*4.1.2. Proof in case 2 (Theorem 2.4 with $i = 2$)*

The claim of Theorem 2.4 with $i = 2$ follows from the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let the assumptions in Theorem 2.4 be fulfilled with $i = 2$. Then, the function $F(\zeta) = f(\psi_{\mathrm{SE}2}(\zeta))$ belongs to $\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{SE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathscr{D}_d)$ with $L = K$, $R = K$, and $\gamma = 2$.*

Proof. From the inequality (2.6) with $i = 2$, (4.1) and (4.2) immediately hold with $L = R = K$ and $\gamma = 2$.

*4.1.3. Proof in case 3 (Theorem 2.4 with $i = 3$)*

The claim of Theorem 2.4 follows from the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let the assumptions in Theorem 2.4 be fulfilled with $i = 3$. Then, the function $F(\zeta) = f(\psi_{\mathrm{SE}3}(\zeta))$ belongs to $\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{SE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathscr{D}_d)$ with $L = 2^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}K$, $R = K$, and $\gamma = 1$.*

For the proof, let us prepare some useful inequalities (Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7).

**Lemma 4.6 (Okayama [12, Lemma 5.4]).** *For all $\zeta \in \overline{\mathscr{D}_{\pi/2}}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\left|\frac{\operatorname{arcsinh}(\mathrm{e}^{\zeta})}{1+\operatorname{arcsinh}(\mathrm{e}^{\zeta})}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}\left|\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\zeta}}{1+\mathrm{e}^{\zeta}}\right|,$$
$$\frac{\operatorname{arcsinh}(\mathrm{e}^{x})}{1+\operatorname{arcsinh}(\mathrm{e}^{x})} \leq \frac{\mathrm{e}^{x}}{1+\mathrm{e}^{x}}.$$

**Lemma 4.7 (Okayama [12, Lemma 5.5]).** *For all $\zeta \in \overline{\mathscr{D}_{\pi/2}}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{|\,\mathrm{e}^{\zeta}+\sqrt{1+\mathrm{e}^{2\zeta}}|} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{|1+\mathrm{e}^{\zeta}\,|},$$
$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{x}+\sqrt{1+\mathrm{e}^{2x}}} \leq \frac{1}{1+\mathrm{e}^{x}}.$$

By using the lemmas above, Lemma 4.5 is proved as follows.

Proof. From the inequality (2.6) with $i = 3$, it follows that

$$|F(\zeta)| \leq K\left|\frac{\operatorname{arcsinh}(\mathrm{e}^{\zeta})}{1+\operatorname{arcsinh}(\mathrm{e}^{\zeta})}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\zeta}+\sqrt{1+\mathrm{e}^{2\zeta}}}\right|^{\beta}.$$

From Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7, it holds that

$$|F(\zeta)| \leq K\left|\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+\mathrm{e}^{-\zeta}}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+\mathrm{e}^{\zeta}}\right|^{\beta} = 2^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}K\left|\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{e}^{-\zeta}}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{e}^{\zeta}}\right|^{\beta}$$

for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{D}_d$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, it holds that

$$|F(x)| \leq K\left(\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{e}^{-x}}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{e}^{x}}\right)^{\beta}.$$

This completes the proof.

*4.2. In the case of the DE-Sinc approximation*

Next, the error of the DE-Sinc approximation is estimated. In this case as well, we have to estimate the error of the Sinc approximation (1.1), in view of the following term:

$$\left| f(t) - \sum_{k=-M}^{N} f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE}i}(kh)) S(k,h)(\psi_{\mathrm{DE}i}^{-1}(t)) \right| = \left| F(x) - \sum_{k=-M}^{N} F(kh) S(k,h)(x) \right|,$$

where we put $F(x) = f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE}i}(x))$ here. Due to the difference of the variable transformation, instead of $\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{SE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\mathscr{D}_d)$, we need the following function space.

**Definition 4.2.** Let $L$, $R$, $\alpha$, $\beta$ be positive constants, and $d$ be a constant with $0 < d < \pi/2$. Then, $\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{DE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta}(\mathscr{D}_d)$ denotes a family of functions $F$ that are analytic in $\mathscr{D}_d$, and for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{D}_d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfy

$$|F(\zeta)| \leq \frac{L}{|1 + \mathrm{e}^{-\pi \sinh \zeta}|^{\alpha/2} |1 + \mathrm{e}^{\pi \sinh \zeta}|^{\beta/2}}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$|F(x)| \leq \frac{R}{(1 + \mathrm{e}^{-\pi \sinh x})^{\alpha/2} (1 + \mathrm{e}^{\pi \sinh x})^{\beta/2}}. \tag{4.4}$$

If $F \in \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{DE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta}(\mathscr{D}_d)$, the errors of the Sinc approximation is estimated as below. The proofs are omitted because they are quite similar to the existing theorem for case 4 [11, Theorem 2.11].

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let $F \in \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{DE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta}(\mathscr{D}_d)$, let $\mu = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let $\nu = \max\{\alpha, \beta\}$, let $h$ be defined as* (2.8)*, and let $M$ and $N$ be defined as* (2.9)*. Furthermore, let $n$ be taken sufficiently large so that $n \geq (\nu\,\mathrm{e})/(4d)$ holds. Then, setting $\epsilon_n^{\mathrm{DE}} = \mathrm{e}^{-\pi d n / \log(4dn/\mu)}$, we have*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| F(x) - \sum_{k=-M}^{N} F(kh) S(k,h)(x) \right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi d \mu} \left[ \frac{4L}{\pi(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\pi\mu\,\mathrm{e}/2}) \{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2} \sin d)\}^{(\alpha+\beta)/2} \cos d} + \mu R\, \mathrm{e}^{\pi\nu/4} \right] \epsilon_n^{\mathrm{DE}}.$$

In view of Theorem 4.8, our main task here is also checking the assumption $F \in \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{DE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta}(\mathscr{D}_d)$. The next lemma is useful for the proofs.

**Lemma 4.9 (Okayama et al. [11, Lemma 4.22]).** *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|y| < \pi/2$. Then it holds that*

$$\left| \frac{1}{1 + \mathrm{e}^{\pi \sinh(x + \mathrm{i}\, y)}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(1 + \mathrm{e}^{\pi \sinh(x) \cos y}) \cos(\frac{\pi}{2} \sin y)},$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{1 + \mathrm{e}^{-\pi \sinh(x + \mathrm{i}\, y)}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(1 + \mathrm{e}^{-\pi \sinh(x) \cos y}) \cos(\frac{\pi}{2} \sin y)}.$$

*4.2.1. Proof in case 1 (Theorem 2.7)*

The claim of Theorem 2.7 follows from the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let the assumptions in Theorem 2.7 be fulfilled. Then, the function $F(\zeta) = f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE}1}(\zeta))$ belongs to $\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{DE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta}(\mathscr{D}_d)$ with $L = 2^{\nu} K / \{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2} \sin d)\}^{(\nu-\mu)/2}$ and $R = 2^{\nu} K$.*

The proof is straightforward by the next result, because $|F(\zeta)| \le K|E_1(\psi_{\mathrm{DE1}}(\zeta);\alpha)|$ and $|F(\zeta)| \le K|E_2(\psi_{\mathrm{DE1}}(\zeta);\beta)|$ coincide with the inequalities in the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.11 (Okayama [12, in the proof of Lemma 5.9]).** *Assume that $F$ is analytic in $\mathscr{D}_d$ with $0 < d < \pi/2$, and there exist positive constants $K$, $\alpha$, $\beta$ such that*

$$|F(\zeta)| \le \frac{K}{|1+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\sinh\zeta}|^{\alpha/2}|1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh\zeta}|^{\alpha/2}}$$

*holds for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{D}_d^-$, and*

$$|F(\zeta)| \le \frac{K}{|1+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\sinh\zeta}|^{\beta/2}|1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh\zeta}|^{\beta/2}}$$

*holds for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{D}_d^+$. Then $F \in \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{DE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta}(\mathscr{D}_d)$ with $L = 2^\nu K/\{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin d)\}^{(\nu-\mu)/2}$ and $R = 2^\nu K$, where $\mu = \min\{\alpha,\beta\}$ and $\nu = \max\{\alpha,\beta\}$.*

*4.2.2. Proof in case 2 (Theorem 2.8)*

The claim of Theorem 2.8 follows from the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.12.** *Let the assumptions in Theorem 2.8 be fulfilled. Then, the function $F(\zeta) = f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE2}}(\zeta))$ belongs to $\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{DE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta}(\mathscr{D}_d)$ with $L = K$ and $R = K$.*

Proof. From the inequality (2.6) with $i = 2$, (4.3) and (4.4) immediately hold with $L = R = K$.

*4.2.3. Proof in case 3 (Theorem 2.9)*

In contrast to cases 1 and 2, the function $F(\zeta) = f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}(\zeta))$ does not belong to $\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{DE}}_{L,R,\alpha,\beta}(\mathscr{D}_d)$ under the assumptions of Theorem 2.9. In this case, we split the error into two terms as

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|F(x) - \sum_{k=-n}^{n} F(kh)S(k,h)(x)\right| \\ &\le \left|F(x) - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F(kh)S(k,h)(x)\right| + \left|\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-n-1} F(kh)S(k,h)(x) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} F(kh)S(k,h)(x)\right|,\end{aligned}$$

which are called the "discretization error" and the "truncation error," respectively. Let us estimate these two terms one by one. For the discretization error, we need the following function space.

**Definition 4.3.** Let $\mathscr{D}_d(\epsilon)$ be a rectangular domain defined for $0 < \epsilon < 1$ by

$$\mathscr{D}_d(\epsilon) = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re}\zeta| < 1/\epsilon,\ |\operatorname{Im}\zeta| < d(1-\epsilon)\}.$$

Then $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathscr{D}_d)$ denotes the family of all functions $F$ analytic in $\mathscr{D}_d$ such that the norm $\mathcal{N}_1(F,d)$ is finite, where

$$\mathcal{N}_1(F,d) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\oint_{\partial\mathscr{D}_d(\epsilon)} |F(\zeta)||\mathrm{d}\zeta|.$$

The discretization error for a function $F$ belonging to $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathscr{D}_d)$ has been estimated as follows.

**Theorem 4.13 (Stenger [3, Theorem 3.1.3]).** *Let $F \in \mathbf{H}^1(\mathscr{D}_d)$. Then*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \left| F(x) - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F(kh) S(k,h)(x) \right| \leq \frac{\mathcal{N}_1(F,d)}{\pi d(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi d/h})} \mathrm{e}^{-\pi d/h}.$$

This paper shows that $F \in \mathbf{H}^1(\mathscr{D}_d)$ under the assumptions of Theorem 2.9.

**Lemma 4.14.** *Let the assumptions in Theorem 2.9 be fulfilled. Then, the function $F(\zeta) = f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}(\zeta))$ belongs to $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathscr{D}_d)$, and $\mathcal{N}_1(F,d)$ is estimated as*

$$\mathcal{N}_1(F,d) \leq \frac{4K}{\pi^{1-\mu}\mu \cos^{2\mu}(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin d)\cos^{1+\mu} d}.$$

For the proof, the following inequality is useful.

**Lemma 4.15.** *For all real numbers $x$ and $y$ with $|y| < \pi/2$, we have*

$$|\log(1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh(x+\mathrm{i}y)})| \leq \frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin y)\cos y} \cdot \frac{\pi\cosh x}{1+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\sinh(x)\cos y}}.$$

Since the proof is relatively long, it is given in the end of this section. If we accept this lemma, Lemma 4.14 is proved as follows.

Proof. By assumption, clearly $F$ is analytic in $\mathscr{D}_d$. In what follows, we estimate $\mathcal{N}_1(F,d)$. Using Lemmas 4.9 and 4.15, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(x+\mathrm{i}y)| &= |f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}(x+\mathrm{i}y))| \\
&\leq K\left|\log(1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh(x+\mathrm{i}y)})\right|^{\mu}\left|\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh(x+\mathrm{i}y)}}\right|^{\mu} \\
&\leq K\left\{\frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin y)\cos y}\cdot\frac{\pi\cosh x}{1+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\sinh(x)\cos y}}\right\}^{\mu}\left\{\frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin y)}\cdot\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh(x)\cos y}}\right\}^{\mu} \\
&= \frac{K\pi^{\mu}}{\cos^{2\mu}(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin y)\cos^{\mu} y}\cdot\frac{\cosh^{\mu} x}{(1+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\sinh(x)\cos y})^{\mu}(1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh(x)\cos y})^{\mu}} \\
&\leq \frac{K\pi^{\mu}}{\cos^{2\mu}(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin y)\cos^{\mu} y}\cdot\frac{\cosh x}{\mathrm{e}^{\pi\mu\sinh(|x|)\cos y}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this, it holds for any $\epsilon$ with $0 < \epsilon < 1$ that

$$\lim_{x\to\pm\infty}\int_{-d(1-\epsilon)}^{d(1-\epsilon)}|F(x+\mathrm{i}y)|dy \leq \lim_{x\to\pm\infty}\frac{K\pi^{\mu}\cosh x}{\mathrm{e}^{\pi\mu\sinh(|x|)\cos d(1-\epsilon)}}\int_{-d(1-\epsilon)}^{d(1-\epsilon)}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\cos^{2\mu}(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin y)\cos^{\mu} y} = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_1(F,d) &= \lim_{y\to d}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|F(x+\mathrm{i}y)|\,\mathrm{d}x + \lim_{y\to -d}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|F(x+\mathrm{i}y)|\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&\leq \frac{2K\pi^{\mu}}{\cos^{2\mu}(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin d)\cos^{\mu} d}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\cosh x}{\mathrm{e}^{\pi\mu\sinh(|x|)\cos d}}\,\mathrm{d}x = \frac{4K\pi^{\mu}}{\cos^{2\mu}(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin d)\cos^{\mu} d}\cdot\frac{1}{\pi\mu\cos d},
\end{aligned}$$

which is finite if $0 < d < \pi/2$. This completes the proof.

Summing up the results above, we have the following estimate for the discretization error.

**Lemma 4.16.** *Let the assumptions in Theorem 2.9 be fulfilled. Then, putting* $F(\zeta) = f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}^\ddagger}(\zeta))$, *we have*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left|F(x) - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F(kh)S(k,h)(x)\right| \le \frac{4K}{\pi^{2-\mu}d\mu(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi d/h})\cos^{2\mu}(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin d)\cos^{1+\mu} d}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi d/h}.$$

The truncation error is estimated as follows.

**Lemma 4.17.** *Let the assumptions in Theorem 2.9 be fulfilled. Then, putting* $F(\zeta) = f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}^\ddagger}(\zeta))$, *we have*

$$\left|\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-n-1} F(kh)S(k,h)(x) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} F(kh)S(k,h)(x)\right| \le \frac{2^{2-\mu}K\,\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\pi}{2}\mu}}{\mu\pi^{1-\mu}h\,\mathrm{e}^{nh(1-\mu)}}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\mu\exp(nh)}.$$

Proof. Using $|S(k,h)(x)| \le 1$, we have

$$\left|\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-n-1} F(kh)S(k,h)(x) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} F(kh)S(k,h)(x)\right| \le \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-n-1}|F(kh)| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}|F(kh)|.$$

Furthermore, from Lemma 4.15, it holds that

$$|F(x)| \le K|\log(1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh x})|^{\mu}\left|\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh x}}\right|^{\mu} \le K\frac{\pi^{\mu}\cosh^{\mu}x}{(1+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\sinh x})^{\mu}}\cdot\frac{1}{(1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh x})^{\mu}}.$$

Therefore, the desired estimate is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-n-1}|F(kh)| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}|F(kh)| &\le 2\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\frac{K\pi^{\mu}\cosh^{\mu}(kh)}{(1+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\sinh(kh)})^{\mu}(1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh(kh)})^{\mu}}\\
&\le 2K\pi^{\mu}\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\cosh^{\mu}(kh)\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\mu\sinh(kh)}\\
&\le \frac{2K\pi^{\mu}}{h}\int_{nh}^{\infty}\cosh^{\mu}(x)\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\mu\sinh x}\,\mathrm{d}x\\
&\le \frac{2K\pi^{\mu}}{h\cosh^{1-\mu}(nh)}\int_{nh}^{\infty}\cosh(x)\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\mu\sinh x}\,\mathrm{d}x\\
&= \frac{2K\pi^{\mu}}{h\cosh^{1-\mu}(nh)}\cdot\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\mu\sinh(nh)}}{\pi\mu} \le \frac{2K\pi^{\mu}}{h(\mathrm{e}^{nh}/2)^{1-\mu}}\cdot\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\pi}{2}\mu}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\mu\exp(nh)}}{\pi\mu}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Using Lemmas 4.16 and 4.17, we obtain the desired estimate (Theorem 2.9) as follows.

Proof. Let $F(\zeta) = f(\psi_{\mathrm{DE3}\ddagger}(\zeta))$. Lemmas 4.16 and 4.17 give the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|F(x) - \sum_{k=-n}^{n} F(kh)S(k,h)(x)\right| \\ &\leq \frac{4K}{\pi^{2-\mu}d\mu\cos^{2\mu}(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin d)\cos^{1+\mu} d} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\pi d/h}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi d/h}} + \frac{2^{2-\mu}K\,\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\pi}{2}\mu}}{\mu\pi^{1-\mu}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\mu\exp(nh)}}{h\,\mathrm{e}^{nh(1-\mu)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by substituting the formula (2.10), for the first term we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\pi d/h}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi d/h}} = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\pi dn/\log(2dn/\mu)}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\mu(2dn/\mu)/\log(2dn/\mu)}} \leq \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\pi dn/\log(2dn/\mu)}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\mu\,\mathrm{e}/\log(\mathrm{e})}},$$

and for the second term we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\mu\exp(nh)}}{h\,\mathrm{e}^{nh(1-\mu)}} = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\pi dn}}{(\log(2dn/\mu)/n)(2dn/\mu)^{1-\mu}} &= \frac{(2dn/\mu)^{\mu}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\mu(2dn/\mu)(1-1/\log(2dn/\mu))}}{\log(2dn/\mu)}\frac{\mu}{2d}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi dn/\log(2dn/\mu)} \\ &\leq \frac{(\mathrm{e})^{\mu}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\mu(\mathrm{e})(1-1/\log(\mathrm{e}))}}{\log(\mathrm{e})}\frac{\mu}{2d}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\pi dn/\log(2dn/\mu)},\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

The remaining task is proving Lemma 4.15. For the purpose, the following lemma is needed.

**Lemma 4.18.** *It holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ that*

$$\sqrt{x^2+\pi^2} \geq (1+\mathrm{e}^{-x})\log(1+\mathrm{e}^{x}).$$

Proof. Put $f(x) = \mathrm{e}^{x}\sqrt{x^2+\pi^2} - (1+\mathrm{e}^{x})\log(1+\mathrm{e}^{x})$. The desired inequality is obtained by showing $f(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We show it separately in the two cases: (i) $x \leq 4\pi/3$ and (ii) $x > 4\pi/3$.
(i) $x \leq 4\pi/3$. Put $p(x) = \sqrt{x^2+\pi^2} - \log(1+\mathrm{e}^{x})$. If we show $p(x) > 1$, we obtain the desired inequality as $f(x) = \mathrm{e}^{x}\,p(x) - \log(1+\mathrm{e}^{x}) > \mathrm{e}^{x} - \log(1+\mathrm{e}^{x}) \geq 0$. Therefore we show $p(x) > 1$ below. We have

$$\begin{aligned}p'(x) &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+\pi^2}} - \frac{\mathrm{e}^{x}}{1+\mathrm{e}^{x}}, \\ p''(x) &= \frac{\pi^2}{(x^2+\pi^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{\{2\cosh(x/2)\}^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$2\cosh(x/2) \geq 2 + \frac{x^2}{4} > \frac{1}{\pi}(x^2+\pi^2)^{3/4},$$

from which $p''(x) \geq 0$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $p'(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function. Noting that $p'(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$, we see $p'(x) \leq 0$. Therefore, $p(x)$ is a monotonically decreasing function. Thus for $x \leq 4\pi/3$ we have $p(x) \geq p(4\pi/3) > 1$. This completes the proof for $x \leq 4\pi/3$.

(ii) $x > 4\pi/3$. Put $\tilde{p}(x) = \sqrt{x^2+3^2} - \log(1+\mathrm{e}^x)$. Since it holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$2\cosh(x/2) \geq 2 + \frac{x^2}{4} > \frac{1}{3}(x^2+3^2)^{3/4},$$

we see $\tilde{p}(x)$ is a monotonically decreasing function in the same way as above. Furthermore, since $\tilde{p}(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$, $\tilde{p}(x) \geq 0$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= \sqrt{x^2+\pi^2} - \sqrt{x^2+3^2} + \tilde{p}(x) \\ &\geq \sqrt{x^2+\pi^2} - \sqrt{x^2+3^2} + 0 = \frac{\pi^2-3^2}{\sqrt{x^2+\pi^2}+\sqrt{x^2+3^2}} \\ &\geq \frac{\pi^2-3^2}{\sqrt{x^2+\pi^2}+\sqrt{x^2+\pi^2}} \geq \frac{\pi^2-3^2}{\sqrt{x^2+x^2}+\sqrt{x^2+x^2}} = \frac{\pi^2-3^2}{2\sqrt{2}x}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, $x \geq \pi$ is used. From this inequality, putting $q(x) = \mathrm{e}^x(\pi^2-3^2)/(2\sqrt{2}x)$, we have

$$f(x) = \mathrm{e}^x\, p(x) - \log(1+\mathrm{e}^x) \geq q(x) - \log(1+\mathrm{e}^x).$$

Therefore, the desired inequality is proved if we show $q(x) > \log(1+\mathrm{e}^x)$ for $x > 4\pi/3$. Here, we see that $q(x)$ is a convex function for $x > 0$, because

$$\begin{aligned} q'(x) &= \frac{\mathrm{e}^x(\pi^2-3^2)(x-1)}{2\sqrt{2}x^2}, \\ q''(x) &= \frac{\mathrm{e}^x(\pi^2-3^2)\{(x-1)^2+1\}}{2\sqrt{2}x^3} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, considering a tangent line at $x = 4\pi/3$, we have

$$q(x) \geq q'(4\pi/3)(x-(4\pi/3)) + q(4\pi/3)$$

for $x > 0$. Furthermore, it holds for $x \geq 4\pi/3$ that

$$\log(1+\mathrm{e}^x) = x + \log(1+\mathrm{e}^{-x}) \leq x + \log(1+\mathrm{e}^{-4\pi/3}) = (x-(4\pi/3)) + (4\pi/3) + \log(1+\mathrm{e}^{-4\pi/3}).$$

Thus, from $q'(4\pi/3) > 1$ and $q(4\pi/3) > (4\pi/3) + \log(1+\mathrm{e}^{-4\pi/3})$, it holds for $x > 4\pi/3$ that

$$q'(4\pi/3)(x-(4\pi/3)) + q(4\pi/3) > 1\cdot(x-(4\pi/3)) + \left\{(4\pi/3) + \log(1+\mathrm{e}^{4\pi/3})\right\},$$

which shows $q(x) > \log(1+\mathrm{e}^x)$. This completes the proof for $x > 4\pi/3$.

Based on this lemma, Lemma 4.15 is proved as follows.

Proof. Using $\cosh(x+\mathrm{i}\,y) \leq \cosh x$, Lemma 4.9, and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\log(1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh z}) = \frac{\pi\cosh z}{1+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\sinh z}},$$

we have

$$|\log(1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh(x+\mathrm{i}y)})| = \left|\int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\pi\cosh(t+\mathrm{i}y)}{1+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\sinh(t+\mathrm{i}y)}}\,\mathrm{d}t\right| \le \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\pi|\cosh(t+\mathrm{i}y)|}{|1+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\sinh(t+\mathrm{i}y)}|}\,\mathrm{d}t$$
$$\le \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\pi\cosh t}{(1+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\sinh(t)\cos y})\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin y)}\,\mathrm{d}t$$
$$= \frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\sin y)\cos y}\log(1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh(x)\cos y}).$$

The desired inequality is obtained by showing

$$\log(1+\mathrm{e}^{\pi\sinh(x)\cos y}) \le \frac{\pi\cosh x}{1+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi\sinh(x)\cos y}},$$

which is equal to

$$\frac{(1+\mathrm{e}^{-t})\log(1+\mathrm{e}^{t})}{\sqrt{\pi^2+(t/\cos y)^2}} \le 1,$$

where $t = \pi\sinh(x)\cos y$. This inequality is shown as

$$\frac{(1+\mathrm{e}^{-t})\log(1+\mathrm{e}^{t})}{\sqrt{\pi^2+(t/\cos y)^2}} \le \frac{(1+\mathrm{e}^{-t})\log(1+\mathrm{e}^{t})}{\sqrt{\pi^2+t^2}} \le 1,$$

where Lemma 4.18 is used in the last inequality. This completes the proof.

## References


[1] F. Stenger, Optimal convergence of minimum norm approximations in $H_p$, Numerische Mathematik 29 (1978) 345–362.

[2] M. Sugihara, Near optimality of the sinc approximation, Mathematics of Computation 72 (2003) 767–786.

[3] F. Stenger, Numerical Methods Based on Sinc and Analytic Functions, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[4] F. Stenger, Summary of Sinc numerical methods, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 121 (2000) 379–420.

[5] J. Lund, K. L. Bowers, Sinc methods for quadrature and differential equations, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1992.

[6] M. Sugihara, T. Matsuo, Recent developments of the Sinc numerical methods, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 164–165 (2004) 673–689.

[7] M. Mori, M. Sugihara, The double-exponential transformation in numerical analysis, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 127 (2001) 287–296.

[8] K. Tanaka, M. Sugihara, K. Murota, Function classes for successful DE-Sinc approximations, Mathematics of Computation 78 (2009) 1553–1571.

[9] H. Takahasi, M. Mori, Double exponential formulas for numerical integration, Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University 9 (1974) 721–741.

[10] M. Muhammad, M. Mori, Double exponential formulas for numerical indefinite integration, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 161 (2003) 431–448.

[11] T. Okayama, T. Matsuo, M. Sugihara, Error estimates with explicit constants for Sinc approximation, Sinc quadrature and Sinc indefinite integration, Numerische Mathematik 124 (2013) 361–394.

[12] T. Okayama, Error estimates with explicit constants for Sinc quadrature and Sinc indefinite integration over infinite intervals, Reliable Computing 19 (2013) 45–65.